

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/578,348	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SUGIYAMA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Robert M. Stone	<b>Art Unit</b> 2629	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Robert M. Stone.

(2) Fahd Patel.

**Date of Interview:** 29 July 2010

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 2pm

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**    ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**  
N/A

**Claims discussed:**  
3-6

**Prior art documents discussed:**  
N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Examiner proposed several changes to prevent USC 101 issues and further put the application in condition for Allowance.  
Applicant's representative agreed to the proposed changes.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Robert M Stone/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2629

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)